ស្រាប់ពីស្រាំ សមាល់ស្រាំ

APP HANE

25X1

MEMORANDUM FOR : Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

THROUGH

: Acting Chief, Development Projects Division Subj.

Deputy Director (Research)

SUBJECT

: Agency Relationship to Space Policy

25X1A

- 1. In response to your informal inquiry made through subject above, the following comments seem to the writer appropriate:
  - a. Agency participation in space policy-making does not have a long history, despite our involvement for several years in space satellite systems (Project CORONA/ARGON). Under the Eisenhower Administration, space policy was, in effect, in its infancy, and since CORONA was really the first active, continuouslyoperating space satellite system, such policy as existed was made rather informally by the President himself. As far as CIA was concerned, this policy consisted of seeking Presidential approval for CORONA launches prior to each such event. The DD/P was delegated the task of obtaining these approvals, which he did through General Clifton's predecessor, General Goodpaster, or on infrequent occasions from the President directly. It is well to note that during this period, i.e., mid-1960 through January 1961, international space policy had really not been clearly defined, even in the U. N.
  - b. Space policy, as far as the Agency is concerned, became a burning issue only with the passage of the United Nations General. Assembly Resolution 1721 (XVI) sponsored by the United States Delegation on 20 December 1961, calling upon all member nations to register with the U. M. all objects launched into orbit or beyond. This action was undertaken in the name of the U. N. Committee for the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space, and the Resolution originated with the Under Secretary of State and the Special Assistant to the Secretary for Atomic Energy and Outer Space, Mr. Philip Farley.

25X1A



NRO REVIEW COMPLETED

Approved For Release 2003/08/18: CIA-RDP63-00313A000500010031-2

25X1A

CTO A

Page 2

The restrictive aspects of its language and the necessity for compliance impacted on the DOD on 28 December 1961, when it was revealed that State had apparently not effected complete coordination with DOD and the Air Force prior to initiating the Resolution in the General Assembly. Such coordination as had been effected in DOD was apparently limited to the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs.

c. IPD was represented in a meeting held in the Office of the Under Secretary of State on 29 December 1961, attended also by the Under Secretary of the Air Force and Mr. Philip Farley. This meeting was in the nature of a damage assessment session, with State admitting their error in failing to effect full coordination. Discussion was held regarding the formulation of a directive to the United States U. N. Delegation, having to do with the terms of reference of compliance with the Resolution 1721 (XVI). This instruction was sent to Ambassador Stevenson through the Assistant Secretary of State for U. N. Affairs in mid-January. Since that time, this Division has continued its interest in the problem of satellite registration with the U. N. in view of its impact on satellite reconnaissance, and at the invitation of Mr. Farley, a delegate from IPD became a representative on the working group, drafting a U. S. position paper on the subject. This formal paper was transmitted to the United States U. N. Delegation in mid-March of this year.

d. In early January Deputy Assistant Director for Collection, OSI, was designated by Dr. Scoville as the Agency representative on an Ad Hoc Committee, established under the chairmanship of the National Aeronautics and Space Council to deal with the international legal aspects of space launches and related matters. Since the establishment of the DD/R, DPD, in the person of the undersigned, has joined forces with [ of the Office of General. Counsel when questions involving satellite registration or the U. S. position on the legality of space launches were discussed both in the Agency and in an informal working group presently chaired by Mr. Howard Furnas, Deputy Special Assistant to the Secretary for Atomic Energy and Outer Space, which acts in support of the meetings of the 5412 Group under General Taylor. In addition to CIA, DOD, Air Force, and State are also reprepresented, mirroring the make-up of the 5412 Committee. The

25X1A

25X1A

Approved For Release 2003/08/18: CIA-RDP63-00313A000500010031-2

Approved For Release 2003/08/18: CIA-RDP63-00313A000500010031-2

25X1A

Page

most recent meeting of this group was held 10 April to frame a recommended position to General Taylor's committee for the 12 April meeting of the Special Group, which will take up the question of establishing the area of compliance with Resolution 1721 (XVI). On the question of the international legal aspects of satellite reconnaissance, as the Agency representative, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ has been in a position to review position papers submitted by other Government Agencies such as Defense, NASA, FAA, and AEC. In addition, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is the principal action officer in the Agency on ELINT satellite capabilities.

25X1A

25X1A

- 2. With the above rather general statements on the record, it is evident that there is a fair degree of informality at the moment in terms of the Agency representation on space policy-making bodies. Our basic premise from which all points thus far have been argued is that we should take a position which to the maximum extent possible preserves freedom of action to the Agency, and inferentially to the Defense Department, to protect satellite recommaissance from either witting or unwitting compromise in the international political arena. Thus far this stand has put us clearly on the side of the DOD and Air Force, as can be determined from a reading of the minutes of the last Special Group meeting, as well as the DOD proposal on establishment of a national policy on satellite recommaissance tabled for discussion at the 12 April meeting of the 5412 Group. It would seem to those of us actively concerned with the operation of recommaissance satellite systems that the DCI has three areas where his influence can be made felt in the formulation of space policy. These are:
  - a. In his role as the central figure in the intelligence community his total appreciation of the entire Soviet posture when transmitted to the President, either directly or indirectly through the National Security Council, can definitely influence the entire U. S. space program in terms of relative emphasis.
  - b. Acting through General Taylor's committee, the DCI can make his influence felt in this forum on space policy matters, particularly those having a military and/or clandestine connotation. The line to the President here, of course, is not quite as direct as through a. above.
  - c. As chairman of the USIB, the DCI is also in a position to reconcile conflicting points of view within the intelligence community on space policy matters as they deal with national security.

over T	25X1A
	Pega li

In any of the above three categories, the DCI can propose alterations in United States space policy or new policies themselves insofar as they bear upon his delegated responsibilities to the President.

3. It is obvious from the above that within the Government there exists at the moment no firmly fixed and all-inclusive form for the active discussion of space policy matters as they deal with the national interest. For the time being then, the question of Agency representation on such a body appears academic. It seems to us that as time goes on and as further sophistication in intelligence collection systems takes place, there will be a need for a more crystalized form of Agency concern with this whole matter. Beginning steps have already been made with the Air Force in the form of the Mational Reconnaissance Office, where the Under Secretary of the Air Force and the Deputy Director (Research) have already found it possible to exchange useful views on the whole spectrum of reconnaissance problems, both technical and political. However, since the lines upwards from the NRO lead either to the Secretary of Defense or to the DCI, this body may not be the answer when it comes to actually formulating United States space policy in the future, simply because the policy-making element from State is not represented thereon. It is worth noting that even within the Department of State there is a visible reluctance to fully inform the United States U. N. Delegation of the various clandestine reconnaissance capabilities in being or in prospect. For example, Ambassador Stevenson has not been briefed on any clandestine satellite reconnaissance capability to my knowledge, even though the Agency in January of this year briefed Mr. Cleveland, the Assistant Secretary of State for U. N. Affairs, on CORONA operations and invited him to suggest a time for a briefing of Ambassador Stevenson on the CORONA/ARGON/MURAL capability. With the real prospect that the Soviets may launch an active campaign to politically negate the satellite reconnaissance capability of the United States and the Free World this spring at either the forthcoming COSPAR meeting in Washington 30 April or the 28 May meeting in Geneva, Switzerland, of the Legal Section of the U. N. Committee on Peaceful Uses of Outer Space, it seems high time that we prepare a staff element at the working level in the Agency to deal with this situation and to provide the Director with the necessary staff assistance he will need as the Soviet line develops. In the ILLEGIB event that the Soviets directly attack the satellite reconnaissance capabil-Tty by attempts at active interference with CORONA/ARGON, CIA will be at once drawn further into the space policy matter to a degree perhaps analogous k following 1 May 1960.

		And the
ILLEGIB		150-1
ILI EGIB	TAMES A	CHNNTNO

IS A. CUNNINGHAM, JR. Assistant Chief, DPD

## Approved For Release 2003/08/18: CIA-RDP63-00313A000500010031-2

territoria de la compania de la comp

. .

## Distribution:

1 - DDCI

2 - DD/R

3 - DAD/C/OSI

4 - ASST CH/DPD

5 - AC/DPD

6 - DPD/INTEL

7 - DPD/SPB

8 - DPD/RI

DPD: JACunningham, Jr./mg The second of th The first of the f Company to the Commence of the State and the second control of the second of the grant of the state The many many transfer and the second of i kaja samo den kultura kaja sama en la delika kaja sama en la sam ်ရှိသည်။ လေးသည့်အသည်။ သည် သည်များမှုမှာ သည် နေတာင်နှို့ မောက်သည်။ သည် လေးသည် လေးသည် ပြုပြုပြုပြုပြုပြုပြုပြုပြ သည်။ လေးသည် လေးသည်မှတ် သည် လေးသည်။ သည်မှသေးသည့်သည်။ မောက်သည်သည် ကောက်သည် သည်။ that the second of the second ျပည္သည့္ အတြင္းေတြကို မိုက္ေတြကို အေလးမွာေတြကို အတြင္းမွာေတြကို အေလးကို အေလးကို အေလးကို အေလးကို အေလးကို အေလးကိ ကြားတြင္းသည္။ ကို အေလးကို အေလး and the second of the matters of the second தா சமூல அதுகள் தார் ஆளுக்கு இன்று வருகள் கொளிய குடியில் இரு இரு நடியில் இரு இரு இரு இரு இரு இரு இரு இரு இரு இர and the second of the second s nazari din kenggi kengalawan dan sanggi kengalawan dan sanggi hisi kelabatan dan sanggi di dalam dan sanggi da and the second process of the second process of the second ente deserva e 🚉 🧰 primeriore esta marque (Louis April Apr and the state of the first of the state of en gran sjelven var det med en intra sin var drog til storet en i beske i føret en i storet i storet formels d En gran sjelven var det med en intra sin var drog til storet en i beske i føret en i storet i storet formels d ng grandining syang dan granding mengang mengangkan dinanggan ang mananggan dan kang dinanggan dinanggan dinan urbige de l'espoquisir à la sur l'est une réplieur de respect foursonner en les réplieurs de les in the region of points of the restriction of the r er in the solution of the solu ran a strand of the strand A fire automorphism of the strange of the strand of the para la mara sala <mark>presenta de la companya del companya del companya de la compan</mark> The carrier of the second of the carrier party of the carrier which is the carrier of the carrier of the ignantina producer producer i de la production de l'ambient production de la company de la company de la compa La company de la company d

ended the procedure of the trade that the history was are the contract of ුදුවුව යන බව ආදාර්ත වූ විද්යාන වන වන වන වන වූදුව ලබන මිතුවේ අතට වනව වෙනවුලකුම දුක